SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/09 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Chico State: CA

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$8,557,866 PJ's Size Grouping*: C

PJ Since (FY): 1995

40,000	,		_		(1.1)		
					Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):*		
Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Group C	Overall	
Program Progress:			PJs in State: 92				
% of Funds Committed	78.69 %	91.11 %	83	91.92 %	6	4	
% of Funds Disbursed	76.37 %	82.09 %	65	82.65 %	22	19	
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	14	5.72	1	4.67	100	100	
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	89.10 %	73.27 %	29	81.09 %	33	36	
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	36.64 %	62.86 %	71	68.72 %	11	10	
Low-Income Benefit:							
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	57.69 %	77.58 %	77	79.93 %	11	8	
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	38.46 %	40.22 %	48	44.94 %	36	35	
Lease-Up:							
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	57.69 %	92.30 %	84	94.87 %	4	2	
Overall Ranking:		In S	tate: 68 / 92	Nation	nally: 17	13	
HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed	l Units:						
Rental Unit	\$62,894	\$32,812		\$25,717	26 Units	5.90	
Homebuyer Unit	\$15,215	\$20,065		\$14,669	101 Units	22.80	
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	\$32,769	\$26,734		\$20,391	42 Units	9.50	
TBRA Unit	\$4,235	\$2,804		\$3,201	274 Units	61.90	

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (55 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (202 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (293 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Chico CA

Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects)

PJ: State:* National:**

Homebuyer Rental \$943,237 \$109,580 \$128,033 \$110,407 \$73,223 \$91,120

Homeowner \$35,944 \$27,687 \$23,149 **CHDO Operating Expenses:** (% of allocation)

PJ:

1.1

National Avg:

0.0 % 1.1 %

R.S. Means Cost Index:

RACE:	Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %	HOUSEHOLD TYPE:	Rental %	Homebuyer %	Homeowner %	TBRA %
White:	93.3	39.6	85.7	81.4	Single/Non-Elderly:	33.3	16.8	14.3	11.3
Black/African American:	0.0	1.0	2.4	4.7	Elderly:	0.0	2.0	33.3	1.1
Asian:	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.4	Related/Single Parent:	53.3	24.8	14.3	71.2
American Indian/Alaska Native:	6.7	19.8	2.4	1.8	Related/Two Parent:	13.3	55.4	28.6	14.6
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	Other:	0.0	1.0	9.5	1.8
American Indian/Alaska Native and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5					
Asian and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Black/African American and White:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4					
American Indian/Alaska Native and Black:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					
Other Multi Racial:	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0					
Asian/Pacific Islander:	0.0	1.0	2.4	0.0					
ETHNICITY:									
Hispanic	0.0	37.6	4.8	9.1					
HOUSEHOLD SIZE:					SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL A	SSISTAN	NCE:		
1 Person:	33.3	14.9	40.5	11.7	Section 8:	0.0	0.0		
2 Persons:	13.3	22.8	26.2	32.8	HOME TBRA:	0.0			
3 Persons:	26.7	19.8	9.5	28.8	Other:	66.7	•		
4 Persons:	26.7	22.8	11.9	15.3	No Assistance:	33.3	3		
5 Persons:	0.0	9.9	7.1	6.2					
6 Persons:	0.0	5.0	2.4	3.3					
7 Persons:	0.0	4.0	2.4	1.5					
8 or more Persons:	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.4	# of Section 504 Compliant U	Jnits / Co	ompleted Un	its Since 200	1 15

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHO Page 2

^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

HOME PROGRAM SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

CA **Group Rank:** Chico State: 17 **Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):** (Percentile)

92 PJs State Rank: 68

Overall Rank: 13 (Percentile)

Summary: Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

FACTOR	DESCRIPTION	THRESHOLD*	PJ RESULTS	RED FLAG
4	% OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS	< 71.84%	89.1	
5	% OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS	< 47.80%	36.64	
6	% OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME	< 70%**	57.69	
8	% OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS	< 90.38%	57.69	
"ALLOCATION-\	/EARS" NOT DISBURSED***	> 3.360	2.88	

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.